

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

## 1. Request for Continued Examination:

The applicant respectfully requests continued examination of the above-indicated application as per 37 CFR 1.114.

5

The amendments made to the claims in the above section are over the last entered amendment filed November 16, 2004.

## 2. Rejection of claims 1-7 and 9-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a):

10 Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA) in view of Mousseau et al (US 6,477,529).

**Response:**

15 Claims 1-6, 9-13, and 15-16 have been amended to clarify the claim language for overcoming this rejection. The claims now recite that an "original file" is sent from the PDA to the server over the network, the "original file" is opened on the server using an application program, and images of the "original file" are sent from the server to the PDA. The term "original file" is consistently used throughout the claims to indicate that the same file is being used in each of 20 these steps.

25 Neither the APA nor Mousseau teach that the PDA sends an original file to the server and the server sends images of the original file back to the PDA. The APA does not teach sending images from the server to the PDA. Mousseau does not teach that the PDA uploads a file to the server, and therefore does not teach that the server sends images of the uploaded file back to the PDA. For these reasons, the applicant submits that currently amended independent claims 1 and 10 are patentably distinct from the cited prior art, either alone or in combination. 30 Claims 2-9 and 11-17 are dependent on claims 1 and 10, and should be allowed if claims 1 and 10 are allowed. Reconsideration of claims 1-17 is therefore

respectfully requested.

3. Introduction to new claims 18 and 19:

New claim 18 is drafted based on claim 10, and also includes additional  
5 limitations for clarifying the claimed method. For instance, the limitations  
“transmitting images generated from opening the original file with the  
application software on the server to the PDA and remotely displaying the images  
on a display panel of the PDA” and “remotely editing the original file stored on  
the server via the display panel of the PDA utilizing a user input device of the  
10 PDA” emphasize that the uploaded original file resides on the server and is being  
remotely edited using the PDA. For the reasons stated above with respect to  
claim 10, claim 18 is also patentably distinguished from the cited prior art.

New claim 19 more clearly defines the steps involved in the file editing  
15 process for both the server and the PDA. No new matter is added through the  
addition of claims 18 and 19, and acceptance of new claims 18 and 19 is  
respectfully requested.

Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of all claims in  
20 the application and requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

25

Sincerely yours,

*Winston Hsu*

Date: September 30, 2005

5 Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526  
P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.  
Voice Mail: 302-729-1562  
Facsimile: 806-498-6673  
e-mail : [winstonhsu@naipo.com](mailto:winstonhsu@naipo.com)

10

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)